	Case 3:06-cv-05141-FDB Document 6	Filed 05/24/06 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
11	AT TACOMA	
12	PATRICIA BELL WATKINS,	
13	Petitioner,	C N COC 5141EDD
14	v.	Case No. C06-5141FDB
15	STATE OF WASHINGTON	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
16	Respondent.	
17		
18	This habeas corpus petition has been referred to the undersigned Magistrate Judge pursuant	
19	to Title 28 U.S.C. §§ 636(b)(1)(A) and 636 (b)(1)(B) and Local Magistrates' Rules MJR 3 and MJR	
20	4. Petitioner seeks federal habeas corpus relief pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. (Dkt. # 1 and 2).	
21	Originally petitioner only named the state of Washington as a respondent. (Dkt. # 1 and 2).	
22   23	Petitioner is challenging a driving under the influence, DUI, conviction but did not indicate in the	
24	petition or the amended petition what sentence was imposed. (Dkt. # 1 and 2).	
25	The court entered an order to amend the caption or file an amended petition as only the state	
26	had been named as a respondent. (Dkt. # 4). Petitioner responded and states she is not currently	
27	incarcerated and she seeks to challenge a "future sentence", presumably for the aforementioned DUI	
28	ORDER	

1 conviction. (Dkt. # 5). Again, petitioner fails to inform the court what sentence was imposed, or if 2 sentencing has actually occurred. Petitioner does indicate her appeals have been denied in state 3 court. (Dkt. # 5). 4 A petition for habeas corpus must be filed by a person who is in custody at the time of filing. 5 28 U.S.C. § 2241 (c), § 2254 (a), § 2255. Although a petitioner may challenge a future consecutive 6 sentence while in custody, there is no indication that petitioner is addressing a consecutive sentence. 7 Petitioner in this case does not appear to meet the in custody requirement for filing a habeas corpus 8 petition. Accordingly, Petitioner is **ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE** why this petition should not 9 be dismissed. 10 In responding to this order petitioner will inform the court of her current custodial status and 11 what "future sentence" she is challenging, specifically identify the sanction or future jail term that is at issue. Petitioner will have until **June 24<sup>th</sup>**, 2006 to comply with this order and if no response is 12 13 filed or if the response is inadequate the court will recommend dismissal of the action. 14 The clerk is ordered to send copies of this order to the petitioner and to note this matter for 15 the courts June 24th, 2006 calendar. 16 DATED this 23<sup>rd</sup> day of May, 2006. 17 18 19 /S/ J. Kelley Arnold J. Kellev Arnold United States Magistrate Judge 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 **ORDER**